	Case 2:16-cv-07902 Document 1 Filed 10/	24/16 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		302090) oor DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALI	FORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
10	WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT	Case No. 2:16-cv-7902
11 12	INC., Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND VIOLATION OF DIGITAL
13	VS.	MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT ACT
 14 15 16 17 	INNOVATIVE ARTISTS TALENT AND LITERARY AGENCY, INC.; INNOVATIVE ARTISTS; INNOVATIVE ARTISTS, LLC; and INNOVATIVE ARTISTS TALENT AND LITERARY AGENCY N.Y., INC.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. ("Warner Bros." or "Plaintiff"), 1 2 through its undersigned counsel, bring this Complaint against Defendants Innovative 3 Artists Talent and Literary Agency, Inc.; Innovative Artists; Innovative Artists, LLC; and Innovative Artists Talent and Literary Agency N.Y., Inc. (collectively, 4 5 "Innovative Artists") for infringing Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.) and for violating the Digital Millennium Copyright 6 7 Act (§ 1201 et seq.) ("DMCA"). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 17 U.S.C. §§ 501(b), 1203(a). Plaintiff alleges, 8 9 on personal knowledge as to itself and information and belief as to others, as 10 follows:

11

INTRODUCTION

1. 12 Beginning in late 2015, Innovative Artists set up and operated an illegal digital distribution platform that copied movies and then distributed copies and 13 streamed public performances of those movies to numerous people inside and 14 15 outside of the agency. Innovative Artists stocked its platform with copies of Plaintiff's works, including copies that Innovative Artists made by ripping awards 16 17 consideration "screener" DVDs that Plaintiff sent to the agency to deliver to one of its clients. In some cases, Innovative Artists' infringing copies of Plaintiff's works 18 quickly made their way from Innovative Artists' platform to online piracy sites 19 while those movies were still being made available to the general public exclusively 20 21 in theaters. The actions Plaintiff complains of are blatantly illegal. That illegality 22 would be obvious to anyone, but especially to Innovative Artists, a talent agency 23 that claims to promote the interests of actors, writers, directors and others whose 24 livelihoods depend critically on respect for copyright.

Plaintiff discovered Innovative Artists' unlawful conduct after
 unauthorized copies of two of its movies, *Creed* and *In the Heart of the Sea*,
 appeared online in December 2015, shortly after Plaintiff distributed screeners of
 those movies to members of the Academy of Motion Picture Arts & Sciences.

1 Because the screeners were "watermarked"—embedded with markers that identified 2 their intended recipients-Plaintiff traced the copies to screeners that Plaintiff had 3 sent to an Innovative Artists client, in care of the agency. Instead of forwarding the screeners directly to its client, Innovative Artists used illegal ripping software to 4 5 bypass the technical measures that prevent access to and copying of the content on DVDs. Innovative Artists then copied the movies to its digital distribution platform, 6 7 where those copies became available for immediate downloading and streaming 8 along with infringing copies of many other copyrighted movies.

9 3. Plaintiff brings this action to remedy Innovative Artists' violation of its
10 rights and for an injunction barring Innovative Artists from violating those rights in
11 the future.

12

THE PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. is a corporation duly
incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of
business in Burbank, California. Warner Bros. and its affiliates produce, distribute
and license popular motion picture and television content. Warner Bros. owns or
controls the copyrights and exclusive rights in the content that it or its affiliates
produce or distribute (the "Copyrighted Works").

19 5. Warner Bros. has obtained Certificates of Copyright Registration for
20 the Copyrighted Works. Exhibit A includes several of the Copyrighted Works,
21 along with their registration numbers, that are at issue here.

22 6. Defendant Innovative Artists Talent And Literary Agency, Inc. is a
23 corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of California with its
24 principal place of business in Santa Monica, California.

7. Defendant Innovative Artists is a corporation duly incorporated under
the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Santa
Monica, California.

8. Defendant Innovative Artists, LLC is a corporation duly incorporated
 under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Santa
 Monica, California.

9. Defendant Innovative Artists Talent and Literary Agency N.Y., Inc. is a
corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware with its
principal place of business in New York. It also has offices in California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE
10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this Complaint pursuant
to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 17 U.S.C. §§ 501(b), 1203(a).

10 11. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)
11 1400(a).

12

BACKGROUND FACTS

13 Plaintiff and Its Copyrighted Works

14 12. Plaintiff or its affiliates produce and distribute some of the most
15 popular and critically acclaimed motion pictures and television shows in the world.

16 13. Plaintiff has invested (and continues to invest) substantial resources and
17 effort each year to develop, produce and exploit its Copyrighted Works.

Plaintiff owns or co-owns and has the exclusive U.S. rights (among
 others) to reproduce, distribute and publicly perform each of its Copyrighted Works.
 Plaintiff's Screeners and Measures to Protect Content on DVDs

21 15. Plaintiff and many other copyright owners in the entertainment industry
22 send screeners of their copyrighted works to voting members of the academies that
23 annually bestow awards for outstanding achievement in the creation of motion

24 pictures. A screener copy of a movie typically is fixed on a DVD. Plaintiff sends

25 screeners so that voting members of an academy (e.g., the Academy of Motion

26 Picture Arts and Sciences) can watch Plaintiff's works in evaluating whether to vote27 for those works for an award (e.g., an Academy Award).

Plaintiff provides screeners on the understanding and agreement of the
 recipient that the underlying content is owned by Plaintiff; that Plaintiff is providing
 the screener to the recipient solely for their personal viewing in connection with
 awards consideration; and that the recipient may not copy, sell or otherwise
 disseminate the screener's contents.

17. Screeners present significant content security risks for Plaintiff. The 6 7 underlying copyrighted content on the DVDs is in digital format; if unprotected, that 8 content can be copied repeatedly without any degradation in quality. Once a version 9 of Plaintiff's content is available "in the clear"—i.e., without any technological 10 protection—the content can be copied, distributed and streamed without numerical 11 or geographic limitation. In addition, screener DVDs often contain very popular and 12 critically acclaimed content, including in some cases movies that have yet to be 13 theatrically released. Plaintiff therefore generally takes additional steps to protect its 14 content when it sends out certain screener DVDs.

15 18. First, as with content it commercially distributes on DVDs and Blu-ray
discs, Plaintiff sends screeners on DVDs that utilize technological protection
measures (or "TPMs"). In the case of screener DVDs, Plaintiff utilizes Discs
protected with CSS or Patronus. In the ordinary course of their operation, the TPMs
that Plaintiff uses protect against unauthorized access to and copying of the
copyrighted content on the DVDs.

21 19. CSS protects the audiovisual content on Plaintiff's DVDs through the
22 use of encryption and keys embedded in the content recorded on the physical discs.

23 20. Patronus provides additional protection for DVD content by
24 encapsulating the underlying files and further preventing access to the embedded
25 content.

26 21. In the ordinary course of their operation, CSS, Patronus and other
27 TPMs ensure that the content embedded on the DVD will be accessible only for
28 contemporaneous playback through an authorized device. These licensing and

technology systems allow copyright owners to distribute their content on DVDs
 while limiting unauthorized copying or redistribution of that content.

3 22. Second, Plaintiff marks screeners with individual digital watermarks,
4 traceable to the recipient. Invisible to the viewer, the watermark allows Plaintiff to
5 determine the origin of illegal copies that have been uploaded to the internet.

6 Innovative Artists' Unlawful Digital Distribution Platform and Infringement of 7 Plaintiff's Copyrights

8

23. Innovative Artists is a talent and literary agency.

9 24. In early 2015, Innovative Artists began using a Google cloud-based
10 platform ("Google Drive") for email and file services.

11 25. In or around November 2015, Innovative Artists decided that it would
12 distribute movies using its Google Drive account. Innovative Artists' technology
13 department set up the digital distribution platform as a file-sharing folder on the
14 agency's Google Drive account. Innovative Artists then used the platform to upload
15 and distribute digital copies of films.

16 26. Innovative Artists' digital distribution platform included copies of the
17 Copyrighted Works.

18 27. Innovative Artists did not have Plaintiff's authorization, permission or
19 consent to upload copies of the Copyrighted Works to the digital distribution
20 platform.

21 28. Innovative Artists did not have Plaintiff's authorization, permission or
22 consent to exercise any of Plaintiff's other exclusive rights under copyright with
23 respect to the Copyrighted Works.

24 29. Those without an Innovative Artists email address could not
automatically access the digital distribution platform. However, Innovative Artists
executives directed staff to provide access credentials to numerous managers, family
members, friends and others outside of the agency.

30. People inside and outside the agency with access to the digital
 distribution platform could and did download copies of the Copyrighted Works to
 other computer media. Those with access could choose instead to request that
 Innovative Artists' digital distribution platform stream performances of the content
 to them.

6 31. Innovative Artists knew copies obtained from its digital distribution
7 platform would be further disseminated. For example, in one case, Innovative
8 Artists granted access to all files within the platform to an individual at another
9 company, knowing that the other individual intended to distribute copies of the
10 movies in the file to others.

32. Innovative Artists traded access to some of its unauthorized digital
copies of movies in exchange for unauthorized copies of content possessed by third
parties. For example, in one case, Innovative Artists granted an assistant at another
company access to the digital distribution platform because the assistant had
provided a screener to Innovative Artists for a title that was not already on the
platform.

17 33. No person who downloaded or requested a stream of the Copyrighted
18 Works from Innovative Artists' digital distribution platform had Plaintiff's
19 authorization, permission or consent to do so.

20 Innovative Artists' Illegal Circumvention of the TPMs on Plaintiff's Screener
 21 DVDs

34. In its capacity as a talent agency, Innovative Artists receives screeners
from Plaintiff on behalf of clients who are members of one or more awards groups.
As with other similar materials Innovative Artists receives on behalf of its
principals, the senders and recipients understand that Innovative Artists will forward
the materials to those principals.

35. In some cases, however, Innovative Artists did not simply give the
DVDs to the intended recipient. Innovative Artists instead would "rip" the DVDs—

i.e., it would use illegal circumvention software to bypass or remove the TPMs on
 the DVDs—and then make digital copies of the embedded content to a "folder" on a
 third-party server.

4 36. Innovative Artists obtained digital copies of *Creed* and *In the Heart of*5 *the Sea* by circumventing the TPMs on the DVDs that Plaintiff sent to Innovative
6 Artists' client.

7 || Plaintiff Discovers Innovative Artists' Unlawful Conduct

8 37. On December 20, 2015, Plaintiff received two alerts from Deluxe 9 Entertainment Services Group ("Deluxe"), a company with which Plaintiff contracts 10 to provide content security for its screeners. Deluxe informed Plaintiff that Creed 11 and In the Heart of the Sea had been pirated and were available online via an illegal peer-to-peer ("P2P") site. Deluxe informed Plaintiff that, based on its watermark 12 analysis, the copies of Creed and In the Heart of the Sea were ripped from screeners 13 sent to an individual who Plaintiff later determined was an Innovative Artists' client. 14 15 That client had designated Innovative Artists as the party to receive the screeners on the client's behalf. 16

17 38. Plaintiff contacted Innovative Artists, which thereafter terminated the digital distribution platform. Innovative Artists sent Plaintiff logs identifying the 18 names or user names of persons who had downloaded movies from the distribution 19 platform. A log titled "All m4v downloaded files from dec 11 thru dec 22-20 21 AuditReport-20151223-1656" (but which appears to contain downloads only from 22 December 18, 2015 through December 22, 2015) showed that the Copyrighted 23 Works had been downloaded from Innovative Artists' distribution platform by more 24 than twenty users just during the short period covered by the log. The log did not 25 provide data for the number or identification of individuals who had streamed the 26 Copyrighted Works or who had further distributed downloaded copies. 27

Innovative Artists' Digital Distribution Platform Causes Plaintiff Irreparable Harm

3 39. Plaintiff will continue sending screeners to awards group members. In
4 addition, Plaintiff continues to release Copyrighted Works on DVDs.

40. In connection with Plaintiff's investigation, Innovative Artists
represented that the agency terminated the digital distribution platform. Innovative
Artists has not, however, entered into a formal agreement, enforceable by injunctive
relief, preventing it from using Plaintiff's works to populate a similar digital
distribution platform now or in the future.

10 41. Circumvention and infringement such as committed by Innovative Artists causes immediate and irreparable harm to Plaintiff. The digital distribution 11 12 platform included some of Plaintiff's most valuable and critically acclaimed 13 Copyrighted Works. Because Innovative Artists stored digital copies of the 14 Copyrighted Works in the clear—i.e., without TPMs limiting access or copying— 15 the Copyrighted Works were, and if reposted will be, at risk of limitless copying and distribution. As noted, at least two of the Copyrighted Works were made available 16 17 via a P2P BitTorrent site from copies obtained through Innovative Artists' distribution platform. 18

19

20

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. § 106)

21 42. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and every averment
22 contained in paragraphs 1 through 41 inclusive.

23 43. Plaintiff is the owner of exclusive rights of copyright, as set forth in
24 § 106 of the Copyright Act, in each of its Copyrighted Works.

44. Innovative Artists has infringed Plaintiff's exclusive rights, including
the rights to reproduce, distribute, or publicly perform the Copyrighted Works, in
violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106(1), (3), (4).

45. Innovative Artists has never had Plaintiff's authorization to exercise
 any of the rights of copyright with respect to any Copyrighted Work.

3 4

46. Innovative Artists' acts of infringement are willful, in disregard of and with indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

47. As a direct and proximate result of the infringements by Innovative
Artists, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages and Innovative Artists' profits from its
infringing activity with respect to each Copyrighted Work, under 17 U.S.C. § 504,
in amounts to be proven at trial.

9 48. Alternatively, at its election, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages,
10 up to the maximum amount of \$150,000 per statutory award by virtue of Innovative
11 Artists' willful infringement, or for such other amounts as may be proper under 17
12 U.S.C. § 504(c).

49. Plaintiff further is entitled to recover its attorneys' fees and full costs
pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

15 50. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts and conduct,
16 Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain substantial, immediate and
17 irreparable injury, for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Unless enjoined
18 and restrained by this Court, Innovative Artists will continue to infringe Plaintiff's
19 rights in its Copyrighted Works. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief under 17
20 U.S.C. § 502.

21

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

22 || (Violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201, et seq.)

23 51. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and every averment
24 contained in paragraphs 1 through 50 inclusive.

52. Section 1201(a)(1)(A) of the DMCA provides in pertinent part that
"[n]o person shall circumvent a technological measure that effectively controls
access to a work protected under [the Copyright Act]." 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(1)(A).

S3. Plaintiff uses TPMs to effectively control access to, and to protect the
 exclusive rights of copyright in, motion pictures, television shows and other works
 protected by the Copyright Act.

4 54. Innovative Artists circumvented the TPMs on DVDs containing
5 Copyrighted Works, and thereby violated 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(1)(A).

6 55. Plaintiff has sustained and will sustain actual damage as the result of
7 Innovative Artists' DMCA violations, including, among other things, damages to
8 the value of the Copyrighted Works and the reduction in Plaintiff's goodwill in the
9 Copyrighted Works. 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c)(2).

1056. Alternatively, and at its election, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of the11maximum statutory damages as permitted by the DMCA. 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c)(3).

12 57. Innovative Artists' conduct, unless enjoined and restrained by this
13 Court, will cause immediate and irreparable injury to Plaintiff, who has no adequate
14 remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b)(2), Plaintiff is entitled to
15 preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting Innovative Artists' further
16 violations of § 1201.

17 58. Plaintiff is further entitled to its attorneys' fees and full costs pursuant
18 to 17 U.S.C. § 1203.

19

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Innovative Artists and its
affiliates, agents, servants, employees, partners and all persons in active concert or
participation with it, for the following relief:

1. For Plaintiff's damages and Innovative Artists' profits from its
infringing activity, in such amount as may be found; alternatively, at Plaintiff's
election, for maximum statutory damages.

26 2. For permanent injunctions enjoining Innovative Artists, and all persons
acting in concert or participation with it, from reproducing, distributing, publicly
28 performing, or otherwise infringing in any manner any copyrighted work owned or

Case 2:16-cv-07902 Document 1 Filed 10/24/16 Page 12 of 12 Page ID #:12

1	controlled by Plaintiff (including without limitation any Copyrighted Work) and		
2	from circumventing TPMs safeguarding access to any copyrighted work owned or		
3	controlled by Plaintiff (including without limitation any Copyrighted Work).		
4	3. For prejudgment interest according to law.		
5	4. For Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and full costs incurred in this action		
6	pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 505 and 1203.		
7	5. For all such further and additional relief, in law or in equity, to which		
8	Plaintiff may be entitled or which the Court deems just and proper.		
9	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
10	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.		
11			
12			
13	DATED: October 24, 2016 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
14			
15			
16			
17	By: <u>/s/ Kelly M. Klaus</u> KELLY M. KLAUS		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
20			
	-11- COMPLAINT		